

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/826,371	04/19/2004	David Renick Brown	MEMS-0131-D1	MEMS-0131-D1 9737	
40575 75	590 09/08/2004		EXAMINER		
KCO LAW P.L.L.C.			AMARI, ALESSANDRO V		
P. O. BOX 220	472		<u></u>		
CHANTILLY, VA 20153-0472			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2872		

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/826,371	BROWN, DAVID RENICK			
		Examiner	Art Unit)		
	·	Alessandro V. Amari	2872	l P		
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress		
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the r Failure to reply within the set or extended per 	DMMUNICATION. e provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. han thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period w iod for reply will, by statute, ee months after the mailing	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communicati	on(s) filed on	_•				
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims				•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdraved. d. ted to.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected	to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	O) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
•						
,	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of the3. Copies of the certified application from the I	one of: e priority documents e priority documents d copies of the prior nternational Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National	l Stage		
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/10/2004</u>. 		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Case et al "Multifacet holographic optical elements for wave front transformation".

In regard to claims 1, 7, 11 and 16, Case et al discloses (see Figures 2, 3, 7) an off-axis beam shaper or splitter for producing an output beam of a desired order with a desired energy distribution comprising an optical substrate and a diffractive surface (hologram #1) formed on the optical substrate to perform both a beam shaping function on an input beam and to spatially separate the output beam of the desired order from all other diffracted beams of different orders as described in pages 2670-2673.

Regarding claims 2 and 12, Case et al discloses that the beam shaping function includes changing an energy distribution of the input beam from Gaussian to uniform as shown in Figure 2 and as described in pages 2670-2673.

Regarding claims 3 and 13, Case et al discloses (see Figure 2) a beam corrector (hologram #2) located a distance from the beam shaper to correct a phase of the output beam as described in pages 2670-2673.

Regarding claims 4 and 14, Case et al discloses that the beam corrector changes a direction of the output beam as shown in Figure 2.

Art Unit: 2872

4

220

Regarding claims 5 and 15, Case et al discloses that the diffractive surface deflects the output beam at an acute angle to an optical axis of the input beam as shown in Figures 2 and 7.

Regarding claim 6, Case et al discloses that the desired order is 1st order as described in pages 2670-2673.

Regarding claims 8 and 17, Case et al discloses that the plurality of output beams fall along a first line, and the first line of output beams is translated a sufficient distance from the optical axis of the input beam in a direction substantially perpendicular to the first line as shown in Figures 2 and 7.

Regarding claim 9, Case et al discloses that none of the plurality of output beams overlaps a 0th order beam from the splitter as described in pages 2670-2673.

Regarding claims 10 and 18, Case et al discloses that the plurality of output beams define a two dimensional array as shown in Figure 3 and the array of output beams are translated a sufficient distance from the optical axis of the input beam in a direction perpendicular to the optical axis as shown in Figures 2, 3 and 7.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Aharoni et al US 5,422,746 teaches an off-axis beam shaper as shown in Figure 3. Shimomura et al US 6,064,057 teaches an off-axis beam splitter comprising an optical substrate and a diffractive surface formed on the optical substrate to split an input beam into a plurality of identical output beams and to translate the

Application/Control Number: 10/826,371

Art Unit: 2872

plurality of output beams away from an optical axis of the input beam as shown in

Page 4

Figures 7B, 8, 10 and 11.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alessandro V. Amari whose telephone number is (571)

272-2306. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM to 5:30

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ava (11/4

03 September 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER